

# The hidden quantum group of the eight-vertex free fermion model: $q$ -Clifford algebras

R. Cuerno, C. Gómez, E. López and G. Sierra

*Instituto de Matemáticas y Física Fundamental, CSIC, Serrano 123, E-28006 Madrid, Spain*

Received 8 March 1993  
Editor: R. Gatto

We prove in this paper that the elliptic  $R$ -matrix of the eight vertex free fermion model is the intertwiner  $R$ -matrix of a quantum deformed Clifford–Hopf algebra. This algebra is constructed by affinization of a quantum Hopf deformation of the Clifford algebra.

## 1. Introduction

The realm of two dimensional integrable models contains two important families associated to the six vertex and eight vertex solutions to the Yang–Baxter equation [1].

Whereas the family of six vertex solutions (six vertex model and their higher spin descendants) are  $R$ -matrix intertwiners for different finite dimensional irreducible representations of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)})$ , the elliptic eight vertex solutions do not admit, for the time being, the interpretation as quantum group intertwiners. To find such a quantum group interpretation of the eight vertex model would provide, for instance, a natural way to extend the known hidden quantum group structure of conformal field theories [2] to  $q$ -conformal field theories defined by the  $q$ -deformed Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equation [3].

A special class of solutions to the vertex Yang–Baxter (YB) equation are the ones satisfying the so called free fermion condition [4]:

$$R_{00}^{00}(u)R_{11}^{11}(u) + R_{01}^{10}(u)R_{10}^{01}(u) = R_{00}^{11}(u)R_{11}^{00}(u) + R_{01}^{01}(u)R_{10}^{10}(u). \quad (1)$$

In the six vertex case,  $R_{00}^{11}(u) = R_{11}^{00}(u) = 0$  the solutions to YB satisfy (1) and are given by the  $R$ -matrix intertwiners of the Hopf algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}(1,1)})$  [5]. These intertwiners can be mapped into the ones of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)})$  ( $q^4 = 1$ ) for non-classical nilpotent irreducible representations [6] with  $\widehat{q} = \lambda$  and  $\lambda^2$  the eigenvalue of the Casimir  $K^2$ . The physical interest of the free fermion six vertex solutions is their close connection with  $N = 2$  integrable models. In fact we can define using the generators of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)})$  for  $q^4 = 1$  a  $N = 2$  supersymmetric algebra [7], and in this case the free fermion condition (1) reflects the  $N = 2$  invariance of the  $R$ -matrix. Moreover the  $N = 2$  piece of the solitonic  $S$ -matrix for the  $N = 2$  Ginzburg–Landau superpotential  $W = X^{N+1}/(N+1) - \beta X$  [8] can be shown to be given by the intertwiners of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}(1,1)})$  with  $\widehat{q}^N = 1$ .

In the eight vertex case,  $R_{00}^{11}(u) = R_{11}^{00}(u) \neq 0$ , solutions to YB satisfying (1) have been known for a long time. The most general solution corresponding to imposing non-zero field [9,10] depends on three spectral parameters  $u, \psi_1, \psi_2$ , and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a \equiv R_{00}^{00} &= 1 - e(u)e(\psi_1)e(\psi_2), & \tilde{a} \equiv R_{11}^{11} &= e(u) - e(\psi_1)e(\psi_2), \\ b \equiv R_{01}^{10} &= e(\psi_1) - e(u)e(\psi_2), & \tilde{b} \equiv R_{10}^{01} &= e(\psi_2) - e(u)e(\psi_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 c &\equiv R_{01}^{01} = R_{10}^{10} = (e(\psi_1) \operatorname{sn}(\psi_1))^{1/2} (e(\psi_2) \operatorname{sn}(\psi_2))^{1/2} (1 - e(u)) / \operatorname{sn}(u/2), \\
 d &\equiv R_{00}^{11} = R_{11}^{00} = -ik (e(\psi_1) \operatorname{sn}(\psi_1))^{1/2} (e(\psi_2) \operatorname{sn}(\psi_2))^{1/2} (1 + e(u)) \operatorname{sn}(u/2),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2 cont'd}$$

with  $e(u)$  the elliptic exponential:

$$e(u) = \operatorname{cn}(u) + i \operatorname{sn}(u)
 \tag{3}$$

and  $k$  the elliptic modulus (we adopt the parametrizations of ref. [10]). The Yang–Baxter equation satisfied by this  $R$  matrix is [10]

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\mathbf{1} \otimes R(u; \psi_1, \psi_2))(R(u + v; \psi_1, \psi_3) \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R(v; \psi_2, \psi_3)) \\
 &= (R(v; \psi_2, \psi_3) \otimes \mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1} \otimes R(u + v; \psi_1, \psi_3))(R(u; \psi_1, \psi_2) \otimes \mathbf{1})
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4}$$

The simplest way to catch the physical meaning of solution (2) is to define the corresponding spin chain hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N i \frac{\partial}{\partial u} R_{j,j+1}(u; \psi, \psi) \Big|_{u=0},
 \tag{5}$$

which is the well known  $XY$ -model in an external magnetic field [11]:

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^N [(1 + \Gamma) \sigma_j^x \sigma_{j+1}^x + (1 - \Gamma) \sigma_j^y \sigma_{j+1}^y + h(\sigma_j^z + \sigma_{j+1}^z)],
 \tag{6}$$

where

$$\Gamma = \frac{2cd}{ab + \tilde{a}\tilde{b}} = k \operatorname{sn}(\psi), \quad h = \frac{a^2 + b^2 - \tilde{a}^2 - \tilde{b}^2}{2(ab + \tilde{a}\tilde{b})} = \operatorname{cn}(\psi).
 \tag{7}$$

In this letter and as a preliminary step of the long term process of finding the quantum group symmetry of the eight vertex model, we will define a fully fledged Hopf algebra such that its  $R$ -intertwiners coincide with the elliptic free fermionic eight vertex solution (2).

## 2. The quantum Clifford algebra

A Clifford algebra  $C(\eta)$  related to a quadratic form or metric  $\eta$  is the associative algebra generated by the elements  $\{\Gamma_\mu\}_{\mu=0}^D$ , which satisfy

$$\{\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_\nu\} = 2\eta_{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}, \quad \mu, \nu = 1, \dots, D.
 \tag{8}$$

Related to  $C(\eta)$  we define the Clifford–Hopf algebra of dimension  $D$ ,  $\text{CH}(D)$ , as the associative algebra generated by  $\Gamma_\mu$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, D$ ),  $\Gamma_{D+1}$  and the central elements  $E_\mu$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, D$ ) satisfying the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_\mu^2 &= E_\mu, \quad \Gamma_{D+1}^2 = \mathbf{1}, \quad \{\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_\nu\} = 0, \quad \mu \neq \nu, \\
 \{\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_{D+1}\} &= 0, \quad [E_\mu, \Gamma_\nu] = [E_\mu, \Gamma_{D+1}] = [E_\mu, E_\nu] = 0 \quad \forall \mu, \nu.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9}$$

The algebra  $\text{CH}(D)$  is a Hopf algebra with the following comultiplication  $\mathcal{A}$ , antipode  $S$  and counit  $\epsilon$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{A}(E_\mu) &= E_\mu \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes E_\mu, \quad S(E_\mu) = -E_\mu, \quad \epsilon(E_\mu) = 0, \\
 \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_\mu) &= \Gamma_\mu \otimes \mathbf{1} + \Gamma_{D+1} \otimes \Gamma_\mu, \quad S(\Gamma_\mu) = \Gamma_\mu \Gamma_{D+1}, \quad \epsilon(\Gamma_\mu) = 0, \\
 \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_{D+1}) &= \Gamma_{D+1} \otimes \Gamma_{D+1}, \quad S(\Gamma_{D+1}) = \Gamma_{D+1}, \quad \epsilon(\Gamma_{D+1}) = 1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

For  $D$  even the elements  $E_\mu$  ( $\mu = 1, \dots, D$ ) and the product  $\Gamma_1 \cdots \Gamma_D \Gamma_{D+1}$  are Casimirs of  $\text{CH}(D)$ , therefore in an irreducible representation of  $\text{CH}(D)$  we get  $E_\mu = \eta_{\mu\mu}$ , and  $\Gamma_{D+1} \sim \Gamma_1 \cdots \Gamma_D$  which means that the irreps of  $\text{CH}(D)$  are isomorphic to those of  $C(\eta)$  for all possible signatures of  $\eta$  (there is a unique faithful representation of  $C(\eta)$  of dimension  $2^D$ ). For  $D$  odd similar arguments show that the representation theory of  $\text{CH}(D)$  is related to that of  $C(\eta)$  for  $\eta$  a quadratic form defined in one dimension higher, namely  $D+1$ .

The quantum deformation of  $\text{CH}(D)$ , that we will denote  $\text{CH}_q(D)$ , is defined by

$$\Gamma_\mu^2 = [E_\mu]_q = \frac{q^{E_\mu} - q^{-E_\mu}}{q - q^{-1}} \tag{11}$$

with the rest of eqs. (9) unchanged. The comultiplication for  $\Gamma_\mu$  is now given by

$$\Delta \Gamma_\mu = \Gamma_\mu \otimes q^{-E_\mu/2} + q^{E_\mu/2} \Gamma_{D+1} \otimes \Gamma_\mu. \tag{12}$$

The Hopf algebra  $\text{CH}_q(D)$  for  $D = 2$  is very close to the two parameter quantum supergroup  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\beta}(\text{su}(1,1))$  defined in [12], which was shown to be the symmetry group of the  $XY$  spin chain hamiltonian. The correspondence between both algebras is given by the substitutions  $q^{E_x} \rightarrow \alpha^E$  and  $q^{E_y} \rightarrow \beta^E$ . Notice that in  $\text{CH}_q(2)$  we have two central elements  $E_x, E_y$  and one quantum deformation parameter, while in  $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha,\beta}(\text{su}(1,1))$  there exist one central element and two parameters. This difference will be important in the representation theory. We observe that the ‘‘SUSY grading’’ is played in our case by  $\Gamma_3$ , and in general by  $\Gamma_{D+1}$  for  $D > 2$ .

Next we proceed to define a sort of affinization of the Hopf algebra  $\text{CH}_q(D)$ . The generators of this new algebra that we denote  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_q(D)$  are:  $E_\mu^{(i)}, \Gamma_\mu^{(i)}$ , ( $i = 0, 1$ ) and  $\Gamma_{D+1}$  satisfying (11) and (12) for each value of  $i$ . In what follows we will consider only the case  $D = 2$ <sup>#1</sup>.

A two dimensional irrep  $\pi_\xi$  of  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_q(D)$  is labelled by three complex parameters  $\xi = (z, \lambda_x, \lambda_y) \in \mathbb{C}_x^3$  and reads

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\xi(\Gamma_x^{(0)}) &= \left(\frac{\lambda_x^{-1} - \lambda_x}{q - q^{-1}}\right)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z^{-1} \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \pi_\xi(\Gamma_x^{(1)}) &= \left(\frac{\lambda_x - \lambda_x^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\right)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & z \\ z^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \pi_\xi(\Gamma_y^{(0)}) &= \left(\frac{\lambda_y^{-1} - \lambda_y}{q - q^{-1}}\right)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iz^{-1} \\ iz & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & \pi_\xi(\Gamma_y^{(1)}) &= \left(\frac{\lambda_y - \lambda_y^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\right)^{1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iz \\ iz^{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \pi_\xi(q^{E_x^{(0)}}) &= \lambda_x^{-1}, & \pi_\xi(q^{E_x^{(1)}}) &= \lambda_x, & \pi_\xi(q^{E_y^{(0)}}) &= \lambda_y^{-1}, & \pi_\xi(q^{E_y^{(1)}}) &= \lambda_y, & \pi_\xi(\Gamma_3) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The intertwiner  $R_{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  for two of these irreps is defined by the condition

$$R_{\xi_1, \xi_2} A_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(a) = A_{\xi_2, \xi_1}(a) R_{\xi_1, \xi_2} \quad \forall a \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_q(2), \tag{14}$$

with  $A_{\xi_1, \xi_2} = \pi_{\xi_1} \otimes \pi_{\xi_2}(A)$ . Assuming that  $R_{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  is an invertible matrix, then the intertwiner eq. (14) implies

$$\text{tr} A_{\xi_1, \xi_2}(a) = \text{tr} A_{\xi_2, \xi_1}(a) \quad \forall a \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_q(2) \tag{15}$$

For  $a = \Gamma_x^{(0)} \Gamma_y^{(1)}$  we obtain the following constraint on the labels of the irreps which admit an intertwiner:

$$\frac{2(\lambda_x - \lambda_y)}{(1 - \lambda_x^2)^{1/2} (1 - \lambda_y^2)^{1/2} (z^2 - z^{-2})} = k, \tag{16}$$

<sup>#1</sup> To define the algebra  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_q(D)$  properly we should add to (11) the equivalent to Serre’s relations. These will not be relevant for the discussion in this paper.

with  $k$  an arbitrary constant. Eq. (16) defines a two dimensional variety embedded in  $\mathbb{C}^3$  which can be uniformized in terms of elliptic functions. Identifying  $k$  in (16) with the elliptic modulus we define a new variable  $\varphi$  by

$$z^2 = \text{cn}(\varphi) + i \text{sn}(\varphi). \tag{17}$$

Defining now

$$\lambda_x = \tanh x, \quad \lambda_y = \tanh y, \tag{18}$$

eq. (16) becomes

$$e^{x-y} = \text{dn}(\varphi) + ik \text{sn}(\varphi), \tag{19}$$

which means that  $x + y$  is independent of  $\varphi$ , therefore each point in the curve (16) can be parametrized by two complex parameters  $(\varphi, \psi)$  with  $\psi$  defined by

$$\tanh\left(\frac{1}{2}(x + y)\right) = \text{cn}(\psi) + i \text{sn}(\psi). \tag{20}$$

The main result of this paper is that, given two irreps lying on the same curve (16),  $\xi_1(\varphi_1, \psi_1)$  and  $\xi_2(\varphi_2, \psi_2)$  the intertwiner  $R$ -matrix  $R_{\xi_1, \xi_2}$  coincides with the one given in (2) (up to a diagonal change of basis) provided we identify  $u = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$ . Notice from (17) that the ‘‘affine’’ parameter  $z^2$  becomes the standard exponential in the trigonometric limit. The derivation of (2) is long but straightforward, and we have used the following identity among elliptic functions:

$$e(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) = \frac{e(\varphi_1)(\text{dn}(\varphi_1) + 1)(\text{dn}(\varphi_2) + 1) - k^2 e(\varphi_2) \text{sn}(\varphi_1) \text{sn}(\varphi_2)}{e(\varphi_2)(\text{dn}(\varphi_1) + 1)(\text{dn}(\varphi_2) + 1) - k^2 e(\varphi_1) \text{sn}(\varphi_1) \text{sn}(\varphi_2)}. \tag{21}$$

Summarizing our results, we have proved that the intertwiner  $R$ -matrix for two dimensional irreps of the Hopf algebra  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_q(2)$  is the free fermion eight vertex solution to the Yang–Baxter equation.

### 3. Comments

The Sklyanin algebra [13] of the eight vertex model is determined by the corresponding elliptic curve and the anisotropy  $\gamma$  [14]. In the free fermionic case, i.e.  $\gamma = K$ , the curve, for the most general case with non-zero field, is given by (16). An important question that we will address in a future publication, is the mathematical meaning, inside  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_q(2)$ , of the Sklyanin algebra for  $\gamma = K$ .

Taking into account that the trigonometric limit of the free fermion model is given by the six vertex free fermion model and that this  $R$ -matrix is the intertwiner of  $U_q(\widehat{\text{gl}}(1, 1))$ , it is plausible to conjecture that the Hopf algebra  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_q(2)$  plays the role, in the sense of reference [3], of hidden quantum group of the  $q$ -WZW model defined by  $U_q(\widehat{\text{gl}}(1, 1))$ . More precisely we expect that the connection matrices of the  $q$ -KZ equation for  $U_q(\widehat{\text{gl}}(1, 1))$  are quantum  $6-j$  symbols of  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_q(2)$ .

Another interesting issue is the interpretation of the eight vertex free fermion  $R$ -matrix as an scattering  $S$ -matrix in the sense of Zamolodchikov [15]. From our previous results we know that the correspondent ‘‘solitons’’ define now irreducible representations of  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_q(2)$ . Even though we cannot expect a field theory limit preserving the elliptic nature of this  $S$ -matrix, as a consequence of the c-theorem [16], the elliptic  $S$ -matrix (2) may still have a good physical meaning in the lattice, maybe related to the dynamics of the cnoidal waves in a Toda lattice [17].

Finally and based on the previously mentioned close connection between  $N = 2$  soliton  $S$ -matrices and intertwiners of  $U_q(\widehat{\text{gl}}(1, 1))$ , it is natural to wonder if some relevant information on  $N = 2$  integrable models is still hidden in the quantum Clifford algebra  $\widehat{\text{CH}}_q(2)$ .

### Acknowledgement

We would like to thank A. Berkovich for valuable discussions. The work of R.C. and E.L. is supported by M.E.C. fellowships.

### References

- [1] R.J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics* (Academic Press, 1982).
- [2] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, C.Gómez and G. Sierra, *Nucl. Phys. B* 319 (1989) 155, *Phys. Lett. B* 220 (1989) 142; *Nucl. Phys. B* 330 (1990) 347;  
G. Moore and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, *Nucl. Phys. B* 328 (1989) 557; C. Gómez and G. Sierra, *Nucl. Phys. B* 352 (1991) 791.
- [3] I.B. Frenkel and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 146 (1992) 1.
- [4] C. Fan and F.Y. Wu, *Phys. Rev. B* 2 (1970) 723.
- [5] L. Rozansky and H. Saleur, *Nucl. Phys. B* 376 (1992) 461;  
L.H. Kauffman and H. Saleur, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 141 (1991) 293.
- [6] M. Ruiz-Altaba, *Phys. Lett. B* 279 (1992) 326; A. Berkovich, C. Gomez and G. Sierra, *Spin-anisotropy commensurable chains: quantum group symmetries and  $N = 2$  SUSY*, preprint IMAFF-93-1 (1993).
- [7] D. Bernard and A. LeClair, *Phys. Lett. B* 247 (1990) 309.
- [8] P. Fendley and K. Intriligator, *Nucl. Phys. B* 380 (1992) 265.
- [9] B.U. Felderhof, *Physica* 66 (1973) 279.
- [10] V.V. Bazhanov and Yu.G. Stroganov, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* 62 (1985) 337.
- [11] E.H. Lieb, T.D. Schultz and D.C. Mattis, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* 16 (1961) 407.
- [12] H. Hinrichsen and V. Rittenberg, *Phys. Lett. B* 275 (1992) 350, and preprint BONN HE-93-02.
- [13] E.K. Sklyanin, *Func. Anal. Appl.* 16 (1982) 263, *Func. Anal. Appl.* 17 (1983) 273
- [14] I.V. Cherednik, *Yad. Fiz.* 36 (1982) 549, *Fun. Anal. Appl.* 19 (1985) 77;  
A.V. Odeski and B.L. Feigin, *Func. Anal. Appl.* 23 (1989) 207.
- [15] A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 69 (1979) 165.
- [16] A.B. Zamolodchikov, *Sov. J. of Nucl. Phys.* 46 (1987) 1090;  
C. Destri and H.J. de Vega, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* 4 (1989) 2595.
- [17] M. Toda, *Phys. Rep.* 18 (1975) 1.